LEMBAR HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW KARYA ILMIAH : JURNAL ILMIAH

Judul Jurnal Ilmiah (Artikel) : The Effect of Lactic Acid Fermentation on Fig (Ficus carica)

Fruit Flavonoid

Penulis Jurnal Ilmiah : Ernanin Dyah Wijayanti, Nur Candra Eka Setiawan

Status Penulis : Penulis pertama

Identitas Jurnal Ilmiah : a. Nama Jurnal : Journal of Biological Researches

b. Nomor/Volume : 1/23

c. Edisi (bulan/tahun) : Desember/2017

d. Penerbit : The East Java Biological Society

e. Jumlah halaman : 6

Kategori Publikasi Jurnal Ilmiah (beri ✓ pada kategori yang tepat)

Jurnal Ilmiah Internasional

Jurnal Ilmiah Nasional Terakreditasi

Jurnal Ilmiah Nasional Tidak Terakreditasi

Hasil Penilaian Peer Review:

	Komponen Yang Dinilai	Nilai Mal			
		Internasional	Nasional Terakreditasi	Nasional Tidak Terakreditasi	Nilai Akhir Yang Diperoleh
a.	Kelengkapan unsur isi buku (10%)		1,5		1,5
b.	Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%)		4,5		4,2
c.	Kecukupan dan kemutahiran data/informasi dan metodologi (30%)		4,5		4,3
d.	Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas penerbit (30%)		4,5		4,2
	Total = (100%)		15		14,2

Surabaya, 4 Maret 2020

Reviewer I

Ruth Chrisnasari, S.TP., M.P. .

NIDN 0725108402

Unit kerja: Universitas Surabaya Jabatan Akademik Terakhir: Lektor

Bidang Ilmu: Bioteknologi

ISSN: 0852-6834 e-ISSN: 2337-3987

Journal of BIOLOGICAL RESEARCHES

Jl. Surakarta No. 5 Malang website: www.berkalahayati.org e-mail: berkalahayati@yahoo.com

PAPER EVALUATION SHEET

No. : BKJT 104				
Paper Title : THE EFFECT OF LACTIC ACID FERMENTATION ON	FIG (Fi	cus car	ica) FF	RUIT
FLAVONOID	THE EFFECT OF LACTIC ACID FERMENTATION ON FIG (Ficus carica) FRUIT OID on objects: Yes No See Comment per content original? paper title represent its content? paper title represent its content? perwords indicate the scope of the research? search methodology or the approach of the problem solving clearly lata presentation and interpretation valid and reasonable? see of tables and figures help to clarify the explanation? et discussion and/or analysis been relevant with the results of the study? Very Good Fair Poor Good			
A. Evaluation objects:	Yes	No		
1. Is the paper content original?				
2. Does the paper title represent its content?	V			
3. Does the abstract reflect the paper content?				
4. Do the keywords indicate the scope of the research?	V			
5. Is the research methodology or the approach of the problem solving clearly described?	$\sqrt{}$			
6. Do the data presentation and interpretation valid and reasonable?	V			
7. Do the use of tables and figures help to clarify the explanation?	V			
8. Have the discussion and/or analysis been relevant with the results of the study?	V			
9. Are the references used relevant?	$\sqrt{}$			
10. Contribution to science11. Originality12. Systematic13. Language14. Writing accuracy	-		Fair	Poor
B. Reviewer's decision				
The paper:		_	_	
1. could be published directly		F		
2. could be published with minor revision			<u>V </u>	
3. could be published with major revision		Ļ	\dashv	
4. please return to us for reevaluation after revision		L		

ISSN: 0852-6834 e-ISSN: 2337-3987

Journal of BIOLOGICAL RESEARCHES

Jl. Surakarta No. 5 Malang website: www.berkalahayati.org e-mail: berkalahayati@yahoo.com

5. is not worth to publish based on the above reasons						
C. Comment about the paper (Use additional sheet, if necessary).						
The writing is good, the purpose of the research has been answered. Just a little correction, you see in the script. Please in yellow highlighter (et al) to be italicized. In reference, if there are 3 a the third author preceded the word and, please. If two author separated them with word and (a and author b). Thank you	utor for					
Signature of reviewer: NUNUMG HARIJATI						
D. Note from the reviewers						